Abstract: Cellular Automata (CA) is a methodology that uses discrete space to represent the state of each element of a domain and this state can be changed according to a transition rule. Image noise is unwanted information of an image. Noise can occur during image capture, transmission or processing and it may depend or may not depend on image content. Noise reduction is one of the important processes in the pre-processing of digital images. Most primitive approaches used neighbour pixel values to replacement of noisy pixels. But these methods have a big disadvantage that they are applied on all the pixels, corrupted as well as un-corrupted pixels. So the images loosed vital texture such as edges. Recently researchers have been proposed classification based methods, in this case first identify the corrupted pixel and then replace it by the neighbour values whereas uncorrupted pixels remain unchanged. The proposed method first identifies the noise and then removes it from the corrupted image based on CA. To illustrate the proposed method, some experiments have been performed on several standard test images and compared with popular methods of filtering. The results show that the proposed method relatively has the desirable performance visibly as well as. First the concept of CA is introduced, and then accordingly to the structure of the neighbors, proposed model and then the experimental results.
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INTRODUCTION

Cellular automata (CA) are dynamical systems in which space and time are discrete. This basic theory of the classical CA was established by Von neumann [1]. Later, Stephen Wolfran developed the theory [2]. CA can simulate abundant and complicated process of evaluation and can be considered as a dynamics system whose dimension is infinite. CA models is more than an important model of computer theory and it is applied in the study of non-linear appearance and fractal structure in mathematics, physics, biology, chemistry, geography and economics.

In transmission process, the data value of input image will suffer from various kinds of noises. These sources of noise may come from external interferences, e.g. atmospheric noise, man-made noise, that will cause the perturbations to the system. These perturbations can produce the wrong information in system operation. The random disturbances in the images are shown as noise and are often caused by the malfunctioning pixels in camera sensors, faulty memory locations in hardware or transmission in the noisy channel.

The noises well reduce the quality of images and damage the expression of information for images effectively. Image filtering can effectively reduce the noise and make the image smooth. The common methods of image filtering in general are spatial filtering and frequency domain filtering. The goal of impulse noise removal is to suppress the noise while preserving the integrity of edge and detail information, to reduce the degradation related to noise of any kind, a pre processing or filtering step could be applied [3].

There has been a lot of effort in designing an efficient filtering algorithm. Median filter has been known to perform better in removing impulse noise than the linear filters [4][5]. Others are adaptive median filter [6] and progressive switching median [7]. In this paper, we present a filter based on cellular automata, which is used to remove impulse noise from noise-corrupted images [8][9]. Our cellular automaton algorithm is applicable to both binary images and greyscale images, and show significant improvements over the performance of [8][9].

CELLULAR AUTOMATA

CA model is composed of cell, state set of cell, neighbourhood and local rule. Time advances in discrete steps and the rules of the universe are expressed by a single receipt through which, at each step computes its new state from that of its close neighbours. Thus the rules of the system are local and uniform. There are one- dimensional, two-dimensional and three-dimensional CA models. For example, a simple two-state, one dimensional CA consists of a line of cells, each of which can take value ‘0’ or ‘1’. Using a local rule (usually deterministic), the value of the cells are updated synchronously in discrete time steps. With a k-state CA model each cell can take any of the integer values between 0and k-1. In general, the rule controls the evolution of the CA model.

We can define a CA model as follows [9]:

A CA is a 4-tuple \{L, S, N, F\} where L is the regular lattice of cells, S is the finite state of cells, N is the finite set of neighbors indicating the position of one cell related to
another cells on the lattice N, and F is the function which assigns a new state to a cell where $F: S^{[N]} \rightarrow S$.

There are 256 ($2^8 = 256$) kinds of different local rules. Therefore, S. Wolfran numbered for elementary cellular automata by its local rules and studies it deeply. The results shows that even through elementary cellular automata is so simple, their space configuration which it presents is extraordinarily complex.

Below figure (1) illustrates a 1D binary state nearest neighbor cellular automaton. The lattice configuration (7 cells wide) is shown at two successive time steps. For example, the local neighborhood configuration of the third cell at time step $t = 0$ is “111” (the current values of the second, third, and fourth cells), and the lookup table states that this cell will be in state “0.66” at the next time step $t = 1$. All cells in the lattice are updated in a similar way and simultaneously.

![Figure 1: (a) Initial contribution (b) After update](image)

**STRUCTURE OF THE NEIGHBORHOODS**

As the image is a two dimensional, here we use 2DCA model. In 2DCA model, there are three regular lattices namely, triangular, square, and hexagonal. In most cases, the square lattice is used and in only occasionally is the triangular or hexagonal a better choice.

In our experiment, a rectangular regular grid is used to represent a digital image and each cell represents one pixel of the image. So initial configuration at $t=0$, is the original image. Before designing the de-noising rule based on CA, it is necessary to determine the structure of the neighbours firstly. The structure of the neighbours mainly includes von Neumann neighbourhood and moore neighbourhood are shown in figure (2):

![Figure 2: Structure of neighbourhoods (a) Von Neumann neighbourhood (b) Moore neighbourhood](image)

Von Neumann neighbourhood, four cells, the cell above and below, right and left from each cell is called von Neumann neighbourhood of this cell. The radius of this definition is 1, as only the next layer is considered. The total number of neighbourhood cells including itself is 5 [10] as shown in the equation (1):

$$N(I,j) = \{ (k,l) \in L : |k-i| + |l-j| \leq 1 \} \quad (1)$$

Where, $k$ is the number of states for the cell and $l$ is the space of image pixels. Besides the four cells of von Neumann neighbourhood, moore neighbourhood also includes the four next nearest cells along the diagonal. In this case, the radius $r=1$ too. The total number of neighbour cells including itself is 9 all as shown in the equation (2):

$$N(I,j) = \{ (k,l) \in L : \max (|k-i|,|l-j|) \leq 1 \} \quad (2)$$

The state of the target cell at time $t+1$ depends on the states of itself and the cells in the moore neighbourhood at time $t$, that is:

$$S_{i,j}(t+1) = f ( s_{i-1,j-1}(t), s_{i+1,j-1}(t), s_{i-1,j+1}(t), s_{i+1,j+1}(t), s_{i,j+1}(t), s_{i,j-1}(t) ) \quad (3)$$

To compare the central pixel with the neighbours we use the concept of structuring element as shown in the equation (4)

$$SE = Strel ("square", w) \quad (4)$$

creates a square structuring element whose width is $w$ pixels. $w$ must be a non-negative integer scalar as shown in the figure 3:

![Figure 3: structuring element](image)

**PROPOSED METHOD FOR NOISE FILTERING**

**Methodology:**

The method that we propose is based in a fact that if the central element is higher than its neighbours, then these neighbours will get contribution from the central element, whereas in the opposite case, the central will gain the contribution from the neighbours. The proposed methodology is shown in figure 4.

![Figure 4: Flowchart of the proposed algorithm](image)
Algorithm:

The steps of the proposed method are as follows:

a. Read the input image I, affected by noise and add periodic boundary condition to I.
b. Compute $S_{\text{max}}$, $S_{\text{min}}$, and $S_{\text{med}}$ – the maximum, minimum and median values of the pixel values in the Moore neighborhood where $r=1$ and the central pixel of the neighborhood is the pixel which is testing for impulse.
c. If $S_{\text{min}} < S_{t,i,j} < S_{\text{max}}$, then the pixel $S_{t,i,j}$ is uncorrupted and its value is unchanged.
d. If the testing pixel falls in any one of the following category, then go to step 5.
   i) Test pixel value less than all the pixel values in the neighborhood.
   ii) Test pixel value greater than all the pixels values in the neighborhood.
e. $S_{t,i,j}(t+1) = \text{Mean}(s_{i-1,j-1}(t), s_{i+1,j-1}(t), s_{i,j-1}, s_{i+1,j}(t), s_{i,j+1}(t), s_{i,j}, s_{i,j+1}(t), s_{i,j+1}(t))$.
f. Repeat the step 2-4 for all the pixels of the input image I.

RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

The experimental image is selected as the classical Lena image, whose size is 256×256 with varying percentage of impulse noise. In order to evaluate the performance of the traditional and CA based algorithms objectively, Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR) between denoised image and original image is used, as defined by equation (5) [11].

$$\text{PSNR}=10\log_{10}(\frac{b-b}{\text{MSE}}) \quad (4)$$

Where,

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (a(i,j)-b(i,j))^2}{M\times N}$$

and, $b=255$.

As to the equation, M and N are the dimensions of the image and $a(i,j)$ & $b(i,j)$ indicates the pixel values in place of $i,j$ for the noisy image and enhanced image. The MSE and the PSNR are the two error metrics used to compare quality of the reconstructed image. The MSE represents the cumulative squared error between the reconstructed image and the original image. Lower the value of MSE, the lower is the error. Table 1 presents the PSNR comparison of the proposed method and traditional filtering methods.

Figure 5(a) is called the classical Lena image, the greyscale of Lena. In Figure 5(b), it is evident that 20% salt and pepper noise has been added to the image. Figure 5(c-e) shows the result of the wiener, average and median filters. Finally, Figure 5(f) illustrates the resulted image after filtered using CA.

CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

The major advantage of the proposed method is that the test pixel is first checked for impulse and only then it is replaced, otherwise it is left unchanged. To improve performance even further, there are several areas to investigate. An extension is to use non-uniform cellular automata rules, as the output of the proposed method looks moderate at low noise ratio up to 40% but shows poor performance as the noise ratio increases. Also, we can create a condition to determine the quantity of iterations needed to filter an image without leave undesirable noises and without endanger the shape of objects of interest.
Table 1: Results of processing the noisy image named Lena with varying “salt and pepper noise”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise Ratio</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wiener filter</td>
<td>17.90</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>12.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Filter</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>10.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Filter</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>22.03</td>
<td>21.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Filter</td>
<td>33.97</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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